

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	. Fi	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/226,216		01/07/1999	HISASHI OHTANI	0756-1921	0756-1921 1375	
31780	7590	01/12/2004		EXAMINER		
ERIC ROBINSON			SCHILLINGER, LAURA M			
PMB 955 21010 SOU	JTHBANK	ST.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
POTOMA	C FALLS,	VA 20165		2813		
				DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ale					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
~ Advisory Action	09/226,216	OHTANI ET AL.	<b></b>					
·	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Laura M Schillinger	2813						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 09 December 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and application at the second properties are the second pr	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	oly to a cation in					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.130(a). The definition of the period of extending the period of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.								
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment					
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does NO	OT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	' to issues which we	ere newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	<b>;</b> :							
Claim(s) allowed: none.								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-9 16-18 20-22.24-38 and 40-44</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .								
B.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
10. Other:	CARLW	ON METAN MADA HITEHEAD, MR.	<u>/</u>					
	SUPERVISORY	PATENT EXAMINED TO GY CENTER 2800	•					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that '679 fails to teach a gate insulating film over the gate electrode, this is not persuasive because (Fig.6A (512, 511). Applicant argues that the laser crystallization occurs after adding impurities, however Applicant overlooks the fact that the laser annealing may be used to make the original amorphous silicon film crystal (Col.22, lines: 20-25), the later laser annealing step is used to repair damage by subsequent doping. Lastly, Applicant's argument that the active layer is not patterned and then subsequently laser annealed is not persuasive (Col.7, lines: 45-51, teaches the patterned active layer shown in Fig.6C is laser annealed..